

Journal "European Criminal Law Review"

Guidelines for Contributors

We kindly request the contributors to the *EuCLR* to observe the following guidelines before submitting their manuscripts. This would considerably facilitate the process of editing.

Authors are requested to submit their articles to
euclr.editors@gmail.com !

Formal requirements - The contributions should, as far as possible, be typed in Times Roman 12-points using WORD, and be submitted by e-mail or on a compact disc. Please, do not hyphenate (neither manually nor by using an automatic system)

Each paragraph should be written in running text with 1 1/2 line spacing and justified margins. The footnotes should only be produced by using the computer footnote system. References to footnotes in the text should appear after the punctuation marks in case they refer to the whole phrase and immediately after a word in case they refer to it (e.g.: According to Grotius¹, the author of...). Additional information about the author (academic titles, professional position, and employer) shall be shown in the first footnote indicated by way of an asterisk (*).

Structuring - The following **system of numbering** is preferred: Roman numerals (I., II., III. etc.), followed by Arabic numerals (1., 2., 3. etc.), and finally small letters (a), b), c), aa), bb), etc.). Larger sections (Roman and Arabic numerals) should be introduced by subtitles.

Abbreviations - Abbreviations should be spelled out when used for the first time: Recueil Dalloz (D.). Abbreviations in the text should be avoided as far as possible.

Italics - Generally, italics should be used to emphasise terms and notions in the text (not in footnotes). Specifically, Latin terms and notions and the authors' names (*infra*) will be in italics as well. However, italics should be used thriftily.

Terms and notions in any foreign language (other than English or Latin) shall be put in inverted commas and translated if possible.

References - The first reference in the footnotes to an **independent publication** should conform to the following style: *P.-A. Albrecht*, The forgotten freedom, 2003, p. 100 et seq. All further references should be (e.g.): *Albrecht* (fn. 24), p. 50 et seq. The names of authors should thus be italicized.

The first reference in the footnotes to an **article in a journal** should be according to the following example: *A. Weyembergh*, The Functions of Approximation of penal Legislation within the European Union, Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law (MJ) 2005, p. 149 et seq. All further references should be as follows: *Weyembergh*, MJ 2005, p. 149 et seq.

The first reference in the footnotes to a **contribution in a collection of essays or a Festschrift** should be as follows: *S. Gless*, Police and judicial cooperation between the EU Member states. Results and Prospects, in: C. Fijnaut/J. Ouwerkerk (eds.), The Future of Police and Judicial Cooperation in the European Union, 2010, p. 25 et seq. Editors of a Festschrift are not cited. For all further references (e.g.): *Gless* (fn. 15), p. 25 et seq.

The first reference to a **commentary** should be in the following style: *K. Ambos*, in: O. Triffterer (ed.), Commentary on the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: Observers' Notes, Article by article, 2nd ed., 1999, Art. 25, margin no 9. All further references are as follows (e.g.): *Ambos* (fn. 12), Art. 25, margin no 12.

References to bibliography shall be made in the original language. The author's name, however, is to be transcribed into latin characters, as the case may be.

In citing **court decisions**, the system of reference used in the country of origin should be followed. The name of the court should be italicized, as should be the names of the parties in cases from common law countries. Decisions by the **European Court of Justice** are to be cited as follows: *European Court of Justice* (ECJ) 23.5.1985, case 29/84 (*Commission/Germany*), [1985] ECR 1661, margin no 5. All further reference should be: *ECJ, Commission/Germany* (fn. 10) 1661, margin no 5. Decisions by the **European Court of Human Rights** are to be cited as

follows: *Bykov v. Russia*, Application no. 4378/02, Judgement 10 March 2009, margin no 84. All further reference should be: *ECtHR, Bykov v. Russia* (fn. 9), margin no 90.

The **Official Journal of the European Union** should be cited in the following way: OJ 1993 L 307/25.

References to Articles, sections etc. should be made in the form used in the country of origin (e.g. Article, Art., art. etc.).

Spell check – The contributions should be written in British English. Hence, it is advisable to use the British spell-check as part of the WORD- software.

Guidelines for the Preparation of Case Notes

1. Contents

The purpose of a *EuCLR* case note is to identify the basic problems of the decision under review and to discuss them within a European context. Since the journal is designed to foster, but cannot presuppose, an awareness of the main lines of development of the European jurisdictions, the reader should in the first place be informed about the relevance of the decision for the jurisdiction of its origin; but the development initiated, or consolidated, by this decision, should also be evaluated from a comparative perspective. The reviewer, in other words, should ask himself: Why is it important for a foreign lawyer to know about this case?

As long as the decisions of the ECJ and the ECtHR are concerned the reviewer should not only describe the relevant context and the new developments, that the decisions under review bring on the scene, but also try to describe the significance of the European jurisdiction for the national legal orders.

2. Format

Decision and case note should be preceded by a title indicating the subject matter of the decision. The title should be followed by a head-note in the original language. The text of the decision will then be printed in its entirety. However, in the case of longer decisions only extracts will be provided. It is the task of the reviewer to select those extracts which are relevant for a proper understanding of both decision and annotation. The text of the decision should be followed by the annotation; the latter should contain subtitles only if it is a longer one.

The authors of case notes are asked, when handing in their contribution, to attach the version of the case report on which they base their note. They should also add full references as to their source.

Authors are kindly requested to allow an adequate margin for editorial amendments and to print footnotes widely spaced.